

Svenska ILO-kommittén
103 33 Stockholm

Abrogation of six international labour Conventions and withdrawal of three international labour Recommendations

Arbetsgivarverket har för yttrande mottagit ärende 4/2017, ILO report VII (1) – Abrogation of six international labour Conventions and withdrawal of three international labour Recommendations. Arbetsgivarverket har tagit del av underlaget och har inga invändningar. Bifogat finns den enkät som Arbetsgivarverket anmodats besvara.

Beslut i detta ärende fattas av biträdande chefsjurist Hedda Mann.



Hedda Mann

Bilaga: Questionnaire

Questionnaire

In accordance with article 45bis of the Standing Orders of the International Labour Conference, governments are invited to consult the most representative organizations of employers and workers before finalizing their replies to this questionnaire. The International Labour Office would be grateful if the replies could reach the Office by *30 November 2017*. Respondents are encouraged, where possible, to complete the questionnaire in electronic format and to submit their replies electronically to the following email address: jur@ilo.org.

1. **Inspection of Emigrants Convention, 1926 (No. 21)**

Do you consider that Convention No. 21 should be abrogated?

Yes No

If you replied "no" to the question above, please indicate the reasons why you consider that Convention No. 21 has not lost its purpose or still makes a useful contribution to attaining the objectives of the Organization.

2. **Recruiting of Indigenous Workers Convention, 1936 (No. 50)**

Do you consider that Convention No. 50 should be abrogated?

Yes No

If you replied "no" to the question above, please indicate the reasons why you consider that Convention No. 50 has not lost its purpose or still makes a useful contribution to attaining the objectives of the Organization.

3. Contracts of Employment (Indigenous Workers) Convention, 1939 (No. 64)

Do you consider that Convention No. 64 should be abrogated?

Yes No

If you replied "no" to the question above, please indicate the reasons why you consider that Convention No. 64 has not lost its purpose or still makes a useful contribution to attaining the objectives of the Organization.

4. Penal Sanctions (Indigenous Workers) Convention, 1939 (No. 65)

Do you consider that Convention No. 65 should be abrogated?

Yes No

If you replied "no" to the question above, please indicate the reasons why you consider that Convention No. 65 has not lost its purpose or still makes a useful contribution to attaining the objectives of the Organization.

5. Contracts of Employment (Indigenous Workers) Convention, 1947 (No. 86)

Do you consider that Convention No. 86 should be abrogated?

Yes No

If you replied "no" to the question above, please indicate the reasons why you consider that Convention No. 86 has not lost its purpose or still makes a useful contribution to attaining the objectives of the Organization.

6. Abolition of Penal Sanctions (Indigenous Workers) Convention, 1955 (No. 104)

Do you consider that Convention No. 104 should be abrogated?

Yes No

If you replied "no" to the question above, please indicate the reasons why you consider that Convention No. 104 has not lost its purpose or still makes a useful contribution to attaining the objectives of the Organization.

7. Hours of Work (Fishing) Recommendation, 1920 (No. 7)

Do you consider that Recommendation No. 7 should be withdrawn?

Yes No

If you replied "no" to the question above, please indicate the reasons why you consider that Recommendation No. 7 has not lost its purpose or still makes a useful contribution to attaining the objectives of the Organization.

8. Migration for Employment Recommendation, 1939 (No. 61)

Do you consider that Recommendation No. 61 should be withdrawn?

Yes No

If you replied "no" to the question above, please indicate the reasons why you consider that Recommendation No. 61 has not lost its purpose or still makes a useful contribution to attaining the objectives of the Organization.

**9. Migration for Employment (Co-operation
between States) Recommendation,
1939 (No. 62)**

Do you consider that Recommendation No. 62 should be withdrawn?

Yes No

If you replied "no" to the question above, please indicate the reasons why you consider that Recommendation No. 62 has not lost its purpose or still makes a useful contribution to attaining the objectives of the Organization.
